

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/974,883	10/12/2001	Sayling Wen	3626-0225P	7636
2292	7590 04/19/2005		EXAMINER	
	EWART KOLASCH	ZHOU, TING		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHU	, JRCH, VA 22040-074	7	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·		2173	
			DATE MAILED: 04/19/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/974,883	WEN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ting Zhou	2173
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuly any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 f 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ Thi 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under 	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/a	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	cepted or b) objected to by the lead of a cepted or b) objected to by the lead of a cepted of the drawing(s) is objection is required if the drawing(s) is objection is	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in the control of	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 2173

DETAILED ACTION

The Request for Continued Examination (RCE) filed on 2 February 2005 under 37 CFR
 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09/974,883 is acceptable and a RCE has been

established. An action on the RCE follows.

2. The amendments filed on 2 February 2005, submitted with the filing of the RCE have

been received and entered. The applicant has added new claims 16-20.

3. Claims 1-20 as amended are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 1, 7 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being

indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1, 7, and 12 recite the limitation "the type" in lines 8

and 11 of claim 1, lines 7 and 9 of claim 7 and lines 8 and 10 of claim 12. There is insufficient

antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Art Unit: 2173

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kraft et al. U.S. Patent 6,084,585.

Referring to claims 1, 7 and 12, as best understood by the examiner, Kraft et al. teach a system, method and device comprising a user interface (UI), which accepts an accessing request from the user and automatically provides the user at least one appropriate prompt for the user to follow the prompt to send the accessing request for the computer readable data in one action so that the user only needs to follow the prompt to complete the accessing request (user access request such as a data entry request via selection of a menu field are accepted and upon receipt of this user action, an appropriate prompt, i.e. drop-down list of menu entries corresponding to the selected menu field is displayed so that users can follow the prompt to select one of the menu entries with one action, i.e. selection of a displayed menu entry) (column 4, line 37 – column 5, line 36); a categorizing module, which automatically determines the type of the computer readable data according to the accessing request (upon detecting action with the interface, the received user action is categorized, or determined to be and passed to one of four possible paths, namely, data entry path, expand form path, contract form path, or submit form path, depending on the type of user action received, according to the type of user action) (column 5, lines 1-10); and an accessing module, which accesses the computer readable data according to the type of the

Art Unit: 2173

computer readable data (once the type of the received user action is determined, i.e. whether it is a data entry, expand form, contract form or submit form path type entry, the user entered accessing request, or action is passed to the appropriate sequence; for example, if it was determined that the user action was a selection of a menu field or entry of data into a data field, the data entry path is used, if it was determined that the user action was the selection of a "submit" key, the submit form path is invoked, and so on) (column 4, line 44 – column 7, line 30).

Referring to claims 2, 8 and 13, Kraft et al. teach the accessing request is a save request to store the computer readable data (selection of the "Submit" button which can submit data by storing them on the storage device) (column 7, lines 12-30), and the accessing module stores the computer readable data to a corresponding data set according to the type of the computer readable data (when data is submitted, data is routed, or saved to the appropriate program according to the type of the data; for example, if the data is an expense report, it would be routed to the accounting department) (column 4, lines 44-49, column 6, lines 58 - column 7, line 30 and column 10, lines 44-52).

Referring to claims 3, 9 and 14, Kraft et al. teach the accessing request is a single-type list request to read in the computer readable data of the desired type, and the accessing module reads in the computer readable data of the desired type from the corresponding data set according to the computer readable data and displays the single-type list on the UI for the user (users can select a single type of menu entry to read in data of the type of information they want to enter) (column 4, lines 37-48 and column 5, lines 14-36 and further shown in Figure 2).

Referring to claims 4, 10 and 15, Kraft et al. teach the accessing request is an all-type list request to read in a plurality of computer readable data and the accessing module read in the computer readable data of all types from the corresponding data sets according to the computer readable data types and displays the all-type list on the UI for the user (user accessing request, or action can be the selection of the "expand" key to read in additional row entry templates) (column 5, line 63 – column 6, line 19 and column 7, lines 62-67).

Referring to claims 5 and 11, Kraft et al. teach the one action refers to the action of hitting one key on a keyboard (column 3, lines 25-31, column 4, lines 58-62 and column 6, lines 58-60).

Referring to claim 6, Kraft et al. teach a storage device for storing computer readable data (column 3, lines 15-21 and column 7, lines 26-30).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kraft et al. U.S. Patent 6,084,585, as applied to claims 1, 7 and 12 above, and Moon et al. U.S. Patent 6,088,696.

Application/Control Number: 09/974,883

Art Unit: 2173

Referring to claims 16-20, Kraft et al. teach all of the limitations as applied to claim 1, 7 and 12 above. However, Kraft et al. fail to explicitly teach the type of the computer readable data includes at least two of a document file, an e-mail message file, and an image file. Moon et al. teach a graphical user interface that categorizes input by automatically determining the type of input data and accessing the data according to the type of the data (automatically receiving incoming mail by type and places the mail into appropriate directories according to that type) (Moon et al. column 1, lines 49-67 and column 4, lines 54-66) similar to that of Kraft et al. In addition, Moon et al. further teach the type of the computer readable data includes at least two of a document file, an e-mail message file, and an image file (the file module automatically recognizes and places the incoming mail into directories by the type of mail, which includes at least an E-mail and a document file such as a word processing data file attachment) (Moon et al.: column 4, lines 54-66). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Kraft et al. and Moon et al. before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the user interface that accepts and categorizes user access requests by type taught by Kraft et al. to include the categorization of data such as documents and emails of Moon et al. One would have been motivated to make such a combination in order to provide a useful filing system that conveniently categorizes and stores a plurality of data for easy retrieval and access.

Page 6

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed on 2 February 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive:

Art Unit: 2173

application.

8. Applicant asserts the present application discloses an intuitive computer data management system/method automatically providing at least one appropriate prompt to the user and that accordingly, the functions disclosed in the present invention are different from those of Kraft et al. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Kraft teaches allowing users to enter an access request, such as selection of a menu field and upon such selection, providing a prompt displaying a plurality of menu field entries for users to choose from. As an example, upon detecting a user access request, such as intention for data entry, from selection of a menu field, an appropriate prompt such as a corresponding drop-down menu list of menu entries is displayed, as recited in column 4, lines 44-54 and further shown Figure 4; this drop down list of appropriate menu entries for the selected menu field prompts the user to select a menu entry from the list with the an input device such as a mouse in order to complete the data entry intention and display the selected entry, as recited in column 5, lines 1-42. Therefore, the examiner respectfully contends that Kraft et al. teach the same functions of automatically providing at least one appropriate prompt for the user to follow to send the accessing request, as disclosed in the present

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 16-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2173

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ting Zhou whose telephone number is (571) 272-4058. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:00 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cabeca can be reached at (571) 272-4048. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-4058.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TZ

JOHN CABECA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100